

CAPS Research Electric Utility Industry Sustainable St

Release Date: August 26, 2009

Average Median	\$4,433,393,336 \$120,000,000			
Range	\$859,000	to	\$182,515,000,000	
Organizational Stru	cture			
Percent of organiza	tions reporting for the entire com	npany, in	cluding all business operations:	
Percent of organiza	tions reporting for the entire com	npany, in		
Percent of organiza Yes	tions reporting for the entire com		cluding all business operations:	
		<u> </u>		

CAPS Research Electric Utility Industry Sustainable Supply Chain Alliance 2009 Supplier Environmental Performance Evaluation Benchmarking Report

Number of reporting organizations that are: C. Manufacturing 76 27 Distributors 57.08% 31.67% 137 Services Providers **Total Number of Participants** 240 ■ Manufacturing Distributors ■ Services Provider If the reporting organization was Manufacturing: Percent of manufacturing that is domestic: 11.25% 76.56% Average number of domestic manufacturing locations with 5.40 less than 500 employees Percent of organizations that elected to share individual company survey data with their respective Alliance Member(s): D. All Participants 50.00% Manufacturing 53.95% **All Participants** Services Providers 50.36% Distributors 37.04% Manufacturing **Services Providers** Distributors 50% 60% 40%

See pa	age 2, section C. for survey population demographics	Total Supplier Responses	All Participants	Manufacturing	Services Providers	Distributors
	Policy & Environmental Management					
1	Percent of organizations that are required to adhere to an established policy on environmental management	236	46.19%	63.51%	40.44%	26.92%
	If <u>Yes</u> : Percent of organizations that post this policy on a public web site	109	35.78%	55.32%	20.00%	28.57%
	If <u>No</u> : Percent of organizations that plan to establish a policy on environmental management within the next 12 months	117	40.17%	33.33%	41.10%	47.06%
2	Percent of organizations that have a formally established environmental management system (EMS) in place	230	36.52%	58.90%	27.69%	18.52%
	If <u>Yes</u> : Percent ISO 14001 certified	82	37.80%	53.49%	22.86%	0.00%
3	Percent of organizations that report environmental performance results externally (e.g., web site, publish a sustainability/environmental report, included in an annual report or other published document)	228	17.98%	32.43%	10.94%	11.54%
	If <u>Yes</u> : Percent of organizations that post this policy on a public web site	41	85.37%	91.67%	78.57%	i.d.
4	Percent of organizations that measure and trend their environmental compliance performance (e.g., Notices of Violation, Permit Non-compliances, Reportable Spills and Significant Monetary Fines/Penalties)	229	47.16%	67.57%	37.21%	38.46%
	If <u>Yes</u> : Percent of organizations that report this performance to senior management and/or the Board of Directors	108	97.22%	96.00%	97.92%	100.00%
	If <u>Yes</u> : Percent of organizations that publicly report their compliance performance trends	106	28.30%	38.00%	19.57%	20.00%
5	Percent of organizations that engage their suppliers on environmental performance standards (e.g., purchasing requirements, education and training, other)	224	39.29%	43.84%	33.60%	53.85%

CAPS Research

See pa	ge 2, section C. for survey population demographics	Total Supplier Responses	All Participants	Manufacturing	Services Providers	Distributors
	Greenhouse Gas (GHG) Emissions					
6	Percent of organizations that measure and trend GHG emissions	229	20.09%	36.49%	13.95%	3.85%
	If <u>No</u> : Percent of organizations that plan to measure and trend GHG emissions within the next 12 months	183	14.75%	23.08%	14.81%	0.00%
	If <u>Yes</u> : Percent of organizations that are able to provide a breakdown of direct and indirect emissions as defined by the WRI/WBCSD GHG Protocol Scope 1, 2 and 3 emissions	46	73.91%	74.07%	72.22%	i.d.
	Of those organizations that are able to provide a bre WRI/WBCSD GHG Protoc			ions as defined by t	the	
7	Percent change in GHG emissions inventory from current year to base year:	20				
	Direct emissions (Scope 1)		-11.28%	-17.63%	7.74%	i.d.
	Indirect emissions (Scope 2)		-3.89%	-6.79%	2.38%	i.d.
	Other Indirect emissions (Scope 3)		5.94%	5.94%	i.d.	i.d.
	Total emissions		-11.07%	-17.90%	8.05%	i.d.
8	Percent of organization's total emissions that were directly attributed to:	28				
	the use of electricity		52.04%	57.34%	42.51%	i.d.
	the use of non-transportation fuel		19.40%	25.71%	6.77%	i.d.
	the use of transportation fuel		21.49%	9.90%	44.67%	i.d.

See pa	ge 2, section C. for survey population demographics	Total Supplier Responses	All Participants	Manufacturing	Services Providers	Distributors
	Of those organizations that currently measure and t	rend GHG emissi	ons or plan to in	the next 12 months	:	
9	Percent of organizations whose GHG inventory has been verified or audited by a third party	69	30.43%	41.18%	20.59%	i.d.
10	Percent of organizations that have established a voluntary commitment to reduce GHG emissions	69	69.57%	70.59%	67.65%	i.d.
11	Percent of organizations that have a GHG emissions reduction program in place	69	42.03%	58.82%	26.47%	i.d.
	If $\underline{\text{No}}$: Percent of organizations that plan on creating a reduction program within the next 12 months	38	78.95%	78.57%	78.26%	i.d.
12	Percent of organizations that regularly reported their GHG emissions performance to senior management and/or the Board of Directors	69	53.62%	70.59%	35.29%	i.d.
13	Percent of organizations that publically report GHG emissions	69	39.13%	55.88%	20.59%	i.d.
	If <u>Yes</u> : Percent of organizations that post GHG emissions on a public web site	27	92.59%	94.74%	100.00%	i.d.
14	Percent of organizations where new federal regulations mandating the reduction in GHG emissions would present a business risk or business opportunity:					
	Risk:	101				
	Yes		17.82%	20.45%	18.00%	0.00%
	No		34.65%	43.18%	28.00%	28.57%
	Unknown		47.52%	36.36%	54.00%	71.43%
	Opportunity:	103				
	Yes		42.72%	50.00%	40.38%	14.29%
	No		12.62%	18.18%	7.69%	14.29%
	Unknown		44.66%	31.82%	51.92%	71.43%

See p	age 2, section C. for survey population demographics	Total Supplier Responses	All Participants	Manufacturing	Services Providers	Distributors
15	Percent of organizations that reported reducing GHG emissions by adopting the following measures:	188				
	Energy efficiency improvements		92.02%	91.04%	93.20%	88.89%
	Process modifications		61.17%	83.58%	49.51%	44.44%
	Purchase of offsets		6.91%	10.45%	5.83%	0.00%
	Sequestration		2.13%	2.99%	1.94%	0.00%
	Use of alternative transportation fuels		23.94%	5.97%	34.95%	27.78%
	Use of electric vehicles		13.83%	16.42%	11.65%	16.67%
	Use of renewable energy		22.34%	26.87%	18.45%	27.78%
	Air Emissions					
16	Percent of organizations that are required to report emissions under Toxic Release Inventory (TRI) reporting requirements	227	20.26%	45.95%	7.09%	11.54%
	If <u>Yes</u> : Percent of organizations that have a plan in place to reduce TRI emissions	44	61.36%	56.25%	77.78%	i.d.
	Energy Consumption					
17	Percent of organizations that reported the following energy consumption information:	180				
	Energy consumption is measured and trended		59.44%	89.71%	45.74%	16.67%
	A voluntary program to improve energy efficiency is in place		85.00%	80.88%	88.30%	83.33%
	An energy efficiency goal has been established		26.11%	38.24%	21.28%	5.56%
	Renewable energy is utilized as part of meeting energy needs		17.78%	20.59%	14.89%	22.22%
	Progress towards energy efficiency is publicly communicated		23.89%	30.88%	19.15%	22.22%
	Performed energy audit(s)		40.00%	54.41%	28.72%	44.44%
18	Percent of total annual energy consumption supplied from renewable resources for the most recently completed fiscal year (e.g., solar, wind)	32	11.64%	12.78%	12.01%	i.d.

CAPS Research

See pa	age 2, section C. for survey population demographics	Total Supplier Responses	All Participants	Manufacturing	Services Providers	Distributors
	Water Use					
19	Percent of organizations that reported the following water use information:	129				
	Water use is measured and trended		61.24%	91.80%	36.84%	18.18%
	A voluntary program to improve water use efficiency is in place		79.84%	68.85%	87.72%	100.00%
	A water use efficiency goal has been established		19.38%	26.23%	14.04%	9.09%
	Water use is reported to senior management and/or the Board of Directors		33.33%	49.18%	19.30%	18.18%
	Progress towards water use efficiency is publicly communicated		19.38%	26.23%	14.04%	9.09%
	Performed water use audit(s)		24.03%	32.79%	14.04%	27.27%
20	Percent of organizations that can determine the total annual water use that was recycled or reused:					
	Recycled	211	10.43%	22.54%	4.31%	4.17%
	Percent of annual water use that was recycled	19	28.04%	36.93%	3.95%	i.d.
	Reused	209	11.48%	25.71%	4.31%	4.35%
	Percent of annual water use that was reused	20	34.85%	44.67%	6.75%	i.d.

See p	age 2, section C. for survey population demographics	Total Supplier Responses	All Participants	Manufacturing	Services Providers	Distributors
	Waste and Materials Management					
21	Percent of organizations that reported the following non-hazardous waste (e.g., commercial waste and industrial waste) information:					
	Commercial Waste:	134				
	Non-hazardous waste generation is measured and trended		36.57%	62.00%	22.22%	16.67%
	Voluntary commitments to reduce non-hazardous waste generation are in place		83.58%	84.00%	84.72%	75.00%
	Progress towards reducing non-hazardous waste generation is publicly communicated		32.84%	44.00%	25.00%	33.33%
	Recycling and/or reuse of non-hazardous waste is utilized as part of meeting waste goals		66.42%	72.00%	65.28%	50.00%
	Recycling and/or reuse of non-hazardous waste is measured and trended		32.09%	58.00%	16.67%	16.67%
	Industrial Waste:	103				
	Non-hazardous waste generation is measured and trended		57.28%	80.00%	31.71%	28.57%
	Voluntary commitments to reduce non-hazardous waste generation are in place		83.50%	85.45%	78.05%	100.00%
	Progress towards reducing non-hazardous waste generation is publicly communicated		31.07%	41.82%	19.51%	14.29%
	Recycling and/or reuse of non-hazardous waste is utilized as part of meeting waste goals		72.82%	76.36%	68.29%	71.43%
	Recycling and/or reuse of non-hazardous waste is measured and trended		44.66%	67.27%	21.95%	0.00%

See pa	ge 2, section C. for survey population demographics	Total Supplier Responses	All Participants	Manufacturing	Services Providers	Distributors
22	Percent of organizations that can determine the total amount of non-hazardous waste stream that is recycled or reused:					
	Commercial Waste	158	24.68%	40.00%	17.86%	0.00%
	Percent of non-hazardous commercial waste stream that was recycled or reused	33	46.31%	52.25%	43.42%	i.d.
	Industrial Waste	137	35.77%	55.74%	21.21%	10.00%
	Percent of non-hazardous industrial waste stream that was recycled or reused	46	64.46%	64.37%	62.82%	i.d.
23	Percent of organizations that generate hazardous waste (e.g., universal waste, listed and non-listed hazardous waste)	220	45.91%	76.71%	33.06%	17.39%
24	Percent of organizations who reported the following hazardous waste (e.g., universal waste, listed and non-listed hazardous waste) information:					
	Universal Waste:	77				
	Hazardous waste generation is measured and trended		61.04%	83.33%	32.26%	50.00%
	Voluntary commitments to reduce hazardous waste generation are in place		76.62%	73.81%	80.65%	75.00%
	Progress towards reducing hazardous waste generation is publicly communicated		25.97%	28.57%	25.81%	0.00%
	Recycling and/or reuse of hazardous waste is utilized as part of meeting waste goals		64.94%	71.43%	61.29%	25.00%
	Recycling and/or reuse of hazardous waste is measured and trended		33.77%	45.24%	22.58%	0.00%
	Other Hazardous Waste:	69				
	Hazardous waste generation is measured and trended		76.81%	86.67%	59.09%	i.d.
	Voluntary commitments to reduce hazardous waste generation are in place		81.16%	82.22%	77.27%	i.d.
	Progress towards reducing hazardous waste generation is publicly communicated		33.33%	37.78%	27.27%	i.d.
	Recycling and/or reuse of hazardous waste is utilized as part of meeting waste goals		65.22%	68.89%	63.64%	i.d.
	Recycling and/or reuse of hazardous waste is measured and trended		47.83%	57.78%	31.82%	i.d.

CAPS Research

See pa	age 2, section C. for survey population demographics	Total Supplier Responses	All Participants	Manufacturing	Services Providers	Distributors
25	Percent of organizations that can determine what percent of the hazardous waste stream is recycled or reused, in accordance with applicable regulations	93	37.63%	46.15%	26.32%	i.d.
	Percent of hazardous waste stream recycled or reused	31	65.06%	58.24%	77.08%	i.d.
	Innovation					
26	Percent of organizations that integrate the following into the design of their products, processes/logistics:	137				
	Life-cycle analysis		59.56%	63.49%	53.97%	70.00%
	Design for the environment		64.96%	67.21%	62.69%	66.67%
	Product sustainability		56.15%	58.62%	49.18%	81.82%
27	Percent of organizations that have an environmental education program for:	225				
	Employees		56.00%	64.38%	53.13%	45.83%
	Suppliers		15.84%	20.55%	11.29%	25.00%
28	Percent of organizations that reward the following groups for environmental innovation:	224				
	Employees		27.23%	31.94%	25.20%	24.00%
	Customers		6.82%	8.70%	3.97%	16.00%
	Suppliers		9.13%	11.59%	4.80%	24.00%

CAPS Research Electric Utility Industry Sustainable Supply Chain Alliance

2009 Supplier Environmental Performance Evaluation Benchmarking Report

ра	age 2, section C. for survey population demographics	Total Supplier Responses	All Participants	Manufacturing	Services Providers	Distributor
	Percent of organizations that have implemented the following programs/products/best practices/designations or certifications to reduce the environmental impacts of products/services:	111	·	_		
	Energy Star		59.46%	45.24%	74.14%	36.36%
	EPEAT		6.31%	9.52%	5.17%	0.00%
	FSC		14.41%	14.29%	13.79%	18.18%
	Green-e		2.70%	4.76%	0.00%	9.09%
	GreenBlue		0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
	Greenguard		6.31%	4.76%	6.90%	9.09%
	LEED		40.54%	33.33%	50.00%	18.18%
	NPEP		1.80%	4.76%	0.00%	0.00%
	RoHS		26.13%	57.14%	6.90%	9.09%
	SmartWay		6.31%	4.76%	6.90%	9.09%
	WasteWise		7.21%	11.90%	5.17%	0.00%
	WaterSense		0.90%	0.00%	1.72%	0.00%
	WEEE		18.02%	40.48%	5.17%	0.00%
	Best Workplaces for Commuters		4.50%	4.76%	5.17%	0.00%
	Center for Environmental Leadership in Business		2.70%	4.76%	1.72%	0.00%
	Clean Cities Program		3.60%	2.38%	1.72%	18.18%
	National Clean Diesel Campaign		3.60%	0.00%	5.17%	9.09%
	Sustainable Forestry Initiative		6.31%	4.76%	6.90%	9.09%
	Other		27.93%	38.10%	18.97%	36.36%

List other: AESP; CA Climate Action Registry; Carpooling; Carpet and Rug Institute Green; Chicago Climate Exchange; Clean Air Campaign; Climate Neutral Products; Climate Smart Supporters; Climatesmart; Commuting (2); Company Vans; Dust Control Programs; EICC; Electronic Policies; Enterwise (Demand Response); EPA Climate Leaders; Goals to reduce carbon footprint; GreenRide; Green Lights Program; Green Supplier Network (4); Green Tier; GS-42; GSN audit; ISO 14001; JF Elec Corp Safety Manual; NSF 140; Other EcoLabels in all regions; Patented an energy saving product; Presidential Green Chemistry; RC; REACH (2); SmartAC;

US Green Building Council (3)

Electric Utility Industry Sustainable Supply Chain Alliance 2009 Supplier Environmental Performance Evaluation Benchmarking Report

e p	age 2, section C. for survey population demographics	Total Supplier Responses	All Participants	Manufacturing	Services Providers	Distributors
30	Percent of organizations that have suggestions for how their organizations and the companies in the Alliance can collaborate on reducing environmental impacts	225	41.78%	52.05%	39.20%	25.93%
31	Percent of organizations that believe collaboration could exist in the following areas:	94				
	Energy consumption		65.96%	65.79%	67.35%	57.14%
	GHG emissions		46.81%	52.63%	46.94%	14.29%
	Procurement specifications		47.87%	52.63%	40.82%	71.43%
	TRI reduction		10.64%	10.53%	12.24%	0.00%
	Waste & materials management		67.02%	57.89%	75.51%	57.14%
	Water use		24.47%	23.68%	24.49%	28.57%
	Other		11.70%	7.89%	12.24%	28.57%

i.d. indicates insufficient data